Expression of \textit{Plxdc2/TEM7R} in the developing nervous system of the mouse

Suzanne F.C. Miller $^a$, Kristen Summerhurst $^d$, Annette E. Rünker $^a$, Géraldine Kerjan $^b$, Roland H. Friedel $^c$, Alain Chédotal $^b$, Paula Murphy $^d$, Kevin J. Mitchell $^{a,*}$

$^a$ Smurfit Institute of Genetics, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland
$^b$ Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique UMR7102, Université de Paris 6, Case 12, 9 Quai Saint-Bernard, 75005 Paris, France
$^c$ Department of Biological Sciences, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA
$^d$ Department of Zoology, Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland

Received 21 September 2006; received in revised form 14 November 2006; accepted 10 December 2006
Available online 16 December 2006

Abstract

Plexin-domain containing 2 (\textit{Plxdc2}) is a relatively uncharacterised transmembrane protein with an area of nidogen homology and a plexin repeat (PSI domain) in its extracellular region. Here, we describe \textit{Plxdc2} expression in the embryonic mouse, with particular emphasis on the developing central nervous system. Using light microscopy and optical projection tomography (OPT), we analyse RNA \textit{in situ} hybridization patterns and expression of two reporter genes, /\textit{afii9826}-geo (a fusion of /\textit{afii9826}-galactosidase to neomycin phosphotransferase) and placental alkaline phosphatase (PLAP) in a \textit{Plxdc2} gene trap mouse line (KST37; [Leighton, P.A., Mitchell, K.J., Goodrich, L.V., Lu, X., Pinson, K., Scherz, P., Skarnes, W.C., Tessier-Lavigne, M., 2001. De Wnning brain wiring patterns and mechanisms through gene trapping in mice. \textit{Nature} 410, 174–179]). At mid-embryonic stages (E9.5–E11.5) \textit{Plxdc2-/afii9826}-geo expression is prominent in a number of patterning centres of the brain, including the cortical hem, midbrain–hindbrain boundary and the midbrain floorplate. \textit{Plxdc2} is expressed in other tissues, most notably the limbs, lung buds and developing heart, as well as the spinal cord and dorsal root ganglia. At E15.5, expression is apparent in a large number of discrete nuclei and structures throughout the brain, including the glial wedge and derivatives of the cortical hem. \textit{Plxdc2-/afii9826} expression is particularly strong in the developing Purkinje cell layer, especially in the posterior half of the cerebellum. The PLAP marker is expressed in a number of axonal tracts, including the posterior commissure, mammillo-tegmental tract and cerebellar peduncle.

We compare \textit{Plxdc2-/afii9826} expression in the embryonic brain with the much more restricted expression of the related gene \textit{Plxdc1} and with members of the \textit{Wnt} family (\textit{Wnt3a}, \textit{Wnt5a} and \textit{Wnt8b}) that show a striking overlap with \textit{Plxdc2} expression in certain areas.

© 2007 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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1. Results and discussion

During neurodevelopment, patterning of the neuroepithelium and establishment of cell fates is followed by (or sometimes linked with) migration of cells to appropriate positions and guidance of growing axons along stereotyped pathways to appropriate targets. A number of conserved families of molecules involved in axon guidance have been identified in several species. These include families of guidance cues such as the netrins, semaphorins, slits, and ephrins (Dickson, 2002; Yu and Bargmann, 2001). In addition, a number of classically defined morphogens, including Wnts, BMPs, and Shh have also recently been found to act in axon guidance (reviewed in Charron and Tessier-Lavigne, 2005). These molecules and their receptors are characterised by a variety of extracellular motifs involved in cell–cell interactions, dimerisation or autoregulation. In
addition to these well-characterised proteins, a large number of novel transmembrane protein-encoding genes containing such motifs are predicted in mammalian genomes, many of which are expressed in the developing brain (unpublished data) and are good candidates to be involved in axon guidance or other aspects of nervous system development.

The PLAP secretory trap method was designed and developed to screen for novel axon guidance receptors in the mouse (Leighton et al., 2001). Previous studies utilising this method isolated a gene trap insertion in a novel gene (mouse line KST37; Leighton et al., 2001), since named plexin domain-containing 2 (official MGI gene symbol Plxdc2). This gene encodes a type I transmembrane protein of 530 amino acids, characterised by an extracellular region of weak nidogen homology and a plexin repeat or PSI domain, a domain found in known axon guidance molecules such as semaphorins and plexins as well as integrins and a small number of other molecules (Bork et al., 1999). The PSI domain has been shown to be involved in autoregulatory intramolecular contacts in a number of cases (Bunch et al., 2006; Mould et al., 2005; Zang and Springer, 2001). A related gene (now called Plxdcl) was isolated in a screen for genes upregulated in human tumour endothelium and named tumour endothelial marker 7 (TEM7) (Carson-Walter et al., 2001; St Croix et al., 2000). Plxdc2 is thus also referred to as TEM7-related (TEM7R). The parallels between angiogenesis and nerve growth have been noted before and several molecules originally identified in axon guidance have been directly implicated in angiogenesis (Basile et al., 2006; Carmeliet and Tessier-Lavigne, 2005).

In this study, we investigate Plxdc2 expression in the mouse at a number of stages of embryonic development, paying particular attention to its expression in the developing nervous system. We compare this with the much more restricted expression of Plxdcl in the embryonic brain and with a number of Wnt genes that show a striking overlap with Plxdc2 expression in certain areas.

1.1. Characterisation of a PLAP secretory trap insertion in Plxdc2

The PLAP secretory trap method was designed to identify axon guidance genes in the mouse by simultaneous mutation of the trapped gene and transgenic labelling of neurons that normally express it and their axons. The endogenous promoter of the trapped gene drives production of a bicistronic transcript that encodes two proteins, a fusion of the endogenous protein to β-geo (a fusion of β-gal to neomycin phosphotransferase) and placental alkaline phosphatase (PLAP), which is translated independently using an internal ribosome entry site. It is important to note that both markers report gene expression and not necessarily endogenous protein localisation; PLAP labels axons of neurons expressing the trapped gene, whereas the β-geo fusion protein remains membrane bound inside cell bodies. Comparisons of corresponding X-gal and PLAP stainings confirm that PLAP reliably labels the axons of neurons stained with X-gal (Leighton et al., 2001). Studies of previously trapped genes have shown that both the PLAP and β-gal markers faithfully reflect reported expression patterns (Leighton et al., 2001), although perdurance of the β-geo fusion protein may be an issue in comparing the dynamics of expression to results from in situ hybridisation techniques.

Using a candidate primer approach, we characterised the exact site of the PLAP secretory trap insertion in the KST37 mouse line to intron 3–4 of the Plxdc2 gene (Ensembl: ENSMUST00000028081; Supplemental figure 1).

1.2. Plxdc-βgeo expression in the embryo

Expression of Plxdc2-βgeo in the embryo was investigated by whole mount X-gal staining of heterozygous gene trap mice at embryonic day (E)9.5, E11.5 and in the brain of E15.5 embryos. At E9.5, Plxdc2-βgeo expression was observed in the floor of the midbrain and in an anterior and medial area of the telencephalon that includes the choroid plexus epithelium and presumptive cortical hem (Fig. 1). Expression of Plxdc2-βgeo was also evident in the midbrain–hindbrain boundary (MHB), a major organising...
centre of the developing brain (Raible and Brand, 2004). Within the trunk region, Plxdc2-βgeo expression occurred in a segmented pattern within the somites. At later stages of development, Plxdc2-βgeo expression is found in the dorsal root ganglia (DRG, see below) and expression at E9.5 is thus most likely to include the neural crest-derived spinal primordia which give rise to the sensory cells of the DRG. Plxdc2-βgeo expression was also evident in the limb buds, the lung buds and in the otic vesicle (Fig. 1). In the most caudal region of the embryo, expression is seen in the lateral edges of the neuroepithelium in the region of the still open posterior neuropore (future dorsal midline) and in the extreme distal tip of the tail bud (Fig. 1).

By E11.5, Plxdc2-βgeo expression was more complex and widespread, and its intricate expression pattern became increasingly difficult to document using classical microscopy and photography techniques. We therefore utilised Optical Projection Tomography (OPT), a method for 3D optical microscopy, to visualise Plxdc2-βgeo expression in the E11.5 mouse (Fig. 2). Rather than scanning through different depths of focus in the specimen to reconstruct the 3D object (as in confocal microscopy), OPT maximises the depth of focus, capturing projected optical density images of the whole specimen (Sharpe et al., 2002). Computer software then reconstructs the original 3D information from 400 images taken through one complete 360 degree revolution. The 3D computer object can then be viewed as a series of virtual sections in any orientation or can be viewed from "external" view-points (when volume rendered). Fig. 2 shows both external views (b–e and g) and virtual sections (f, h, i, and j). OPT highlighted Plxdc2-βgeo expression in restricted domains in a number of areas of the E11.5 embryo (Fig. 2; Supplementary data, video 1) including the brain, limb bud, sensory ganglia, branchial arches, heart, lung buds (Figs. 2 and 3) and urogenital tract (data not shown). In the eye, cellular Plxdc2-βgeo expression was restricted to a region of localised expression in the centre of the lens (Fig. 2a and b). In both hind and fore limb buds, Plxdc2-βgeo was expressed in broad domains of distal mesenchyme, extending more proximally on the posterior side (Fig. 2a and d) with a reduced level at the extreme distal tip (Fig. 2f and h). Expression is also seen in the apical ectodermal ridge, a major signalling centre involved in the proximal-distal patterning of the developing vertebrate limb (Fig. 2f and inset in h). Continued Plxdc2-βgeo expression was also evident in regions of the developing otic vesicle (Fig. 2b). Plxdc2-βgeo expression within the trunk at E11.5 had resolved to a clear restriction within the developing DRG (Fig. 2f). This pattern of expression agrees with previously published data at E12.5, which showed Plxdc2-βgeo expression in the DRG and PLAP expression in the corresponding axons (Leighton et al., 2001). These data also showed reporter gene expression in motor and sympathetic preganglionic axons.
and the floorplate of the spinal cord (Leighton et al., 2001). In the present study, expression is seen in the ventral spinal cord, including the floorplate from an anterior limit at the midbrain, and the motor column of the neural tube from an anterior limit at the level of prevertebrae C2/C3 (Fig. 2f and h). A broadband of expression is also seen in the lateral plate mesoderm.

In the E11.5 brain, Plxdc2-βgeo expression was observed in a number of discrete areas, including important patterning centres. The broad expression seen in the medial telencephalon at E9.5 has evolved to a clearly defined domain (Fig. 2i and j). By this stage the telencephalic vesicles have expanded and are separated by a medial invagination of the dorsal midline due to low levels of proliferation combined with high levels of apoptosis (Furuta et al., 1997). Plxdc2-βgeo expression was observed throughout the medial invagination encompassing the cortical hem and choroid plexus epithelium (CPe) and extending slightly caudal in the medial diencephalon (Fig. 2i and j, arrow). The cortical hem is crucial for normal cortical development and disruption of the area can lead to severe hippocampal abnormalities (Shimogori et al., 2004). At E11.5, a complete ring of Plxdc2-βgeo expression was evident at the MHB (Fig. 2i) with significantly more intense expression both dorsally and ventrally. Elsewhere in the brain, Plxdc2-βgal continues to be expressed in the floor of the midbrain although this expression now extends into the hindbrain. Two clusters of cellular Plxdc2-βgeo expression were also evident in the ventral midbrain, lateral to and distinct from the floor plate expression (Fig. 2b, c, d, and h).

The specificity of the reporter gene expression was confirmed by whole-mount in situ hybridisation with a Plxdc2 antisense riboprobe (Fig. 3). At E11.5, in situ hybridisation showed the same overall pattern of expression as the Plxdc2-βgeo reporter gene, although there was a reduction in the relative intensity in certain areas, particularly in the cortical hem and the limbs (Fig. 3a, d, and e). These areas gave a stronger in situ hybridisation signal at E10.5 (Fig. 3b and c). This modest disparity could be due to technical differences in the protocols used for in situ hybridisation on E10.5 and E11.5 embryos (the former being freshly prepared and the latter having been frozen), or possibly to perdurance of the Plxdc2-βgeo fusion protein. Despite this difference, in situ hybridisation confirmed Plxdc2 expression in the cortical hem, the MHB, the floor of the midbrain and in all of the areas highlighted by X-gal staining of heterozygous gene trap embryos. Later expression in specific brain areas was also confirmed by in situ hybridisation.

![Image](https://example.com/image.png)

Fig. 3. In situ hybridisation confirms the Plxdc2-βgeo expression pattern. Whole-mount Plxdc2 in situ hybridisation on E10.5 (b, c) and E11.5 (a, d, e) wild-type embryos analysed by OPT. a–c, still images from different external viewing angles of volume rendered specimens. d and e, virtual sections through an E11.5 embryo showing reduced Plxdc2 expression in the cortical hem and MHB. Arrow, cortical hem and choroid plexus; arrowhead, floor of midbrain; h, heart; lb, lung bud; MHB, midbrain–hindbrain boundary; vsc, ventral spinal cord, including floorplate and motor column. Scale bar: a, d and e 2.7 mm; b and c 1.9 mm.
(data not shown). A control sense probe for Plxdc2 showed a low level non-specific background staining (data not shown).

1.3. Comparative definition of Plxdc-βgeo expression within the cortical hem and MHB

We noted remarkable similarities between the expression of Plxdc2-βgeo and that of a number of members of the Wnt family, several of which are expressed in the cortical hem and MHB, for example. Wnt1 is essential for the development of the posterior midbrain and anterior hindbrain and is expressed in a thin ring at the MHB as early as E9.5 (Panhuysen et al., 2004). Wnt3a expression can be used to distinguish the structure of the cortical hem as early as E10.5 (Grove et al., 1998). By E11.5, Wnt5a and Wnt8b are also expressed in the cortical hem (Grove et al., 1998; Shimogori et al., 2004; Tole et al., 2000).

In order to more closely define the domains of Plxdc2-βgeo expression within brain structures, we compared its expression at E11.5 to that of Wnt1, Wnt3a, Wnt5a, and Wnt8b, examined and viewed with the same OPT methodology (Fig. 4). Comparison with Wnt1 and Wnt5a confirms co-expression with Plxdc2-βgeo at the MHB and in the

![Fig. 4. Definition of Plxdc2-βgeo expression within the cortical hem and MHB at E11.5 by comparison to Wnt1, Wnt3a, Wnt5a and Wnt8b. a–c and k–p, virtual sections and d–f, frontal external views of heads of volume rendered specimens. g–j, surface rendered views of whole head (g) and stained region of anteromedial telencephalon (h–j). Lines in g indicate plane of sections in k–m and n–p. a–c: arrow, MHB; arrowhead, floor of midbrain. k–m: arrow indicates position of cortical hem, the extent of which is shown by Wnt3a expression in l. n–p: arrows indicate differential staining at rostral levels, as described in the text. Scale bar: a–j, 1.45 mm; k–p, 2.2 mm.]
floor of the midbrain (Fig. 4a, b, and c), but unlike Wnt1, Plxdc2-βgeo is not detected in the dorsal midline of the midbrain. In the floorplate, Plxdc2-βgeo expression is more extensive rostrocaudally than either of the Wnt genes and is not restricted to the ventricular aspect. Wnt5a expression also shows striking similarities with that of Plxdc2 in the limb (Yamaguchi et al., 1999) and in the glial wedge (see below and Keeble et al., 2006).

The extent of the expression domain in the medial cerebral cortex was more closely defined by comparison with domains of Wnt3a, Wnt8b (Fig. 4d–p) and Wnt3a expression (data not shown). Fig. 4d–f show dorsal external views of the midbrain–forebrain regions of 3D reconstructed (volume rendered) specimens. Fig. 4h–j represents the overall shape and size of the domains by showing them in isolation at a constant viewing angle, whereas Fig. 4k–p shows comparable virtual sections. As previously described (e.g., Grove et al., 1998), Wnt3a is expressed precisely within the cortical hem (Fig. 4i and l) as well as the dorsal midline of the more caudal brain. Wnt8b is expressed in a broader domain encompassing the cortical hem but extending also into the choroid plexus medially, and more laterally along the walls of the telencephalic vesicles including the region of the prospective hippocampus (Fig. 4j and m). The domain of Plxdc2-βgeo expression is less broad than Wnt8b but also includes the choroid plexus and the prospective hippocampus (Fig. 4h and k). Rostrocaudally, the midline expression of Plxdc2-βgeo is more extensive than either Wnt gene; as mentioned earlier, it extends caudally into the dorsal midline of the diencephalon and Fig. 4n–p shows that rostrally, Plxdc2-βgeo is expressed at a level where Wnt3a is no longer expressed and Wnt8b is localised more laterally.

1.4. Plxdc2-βgeo expression in the E15.5 brain

Expression of Plxdc2-βgeo in the E15.5 brain was investigated by X-gal staining of 100 μm sections from heterozygous Plxdc2 gene trap mice (Fig. 5). An intricate pattern of Plxdc2-βgeo expression was observed in a number of nuclei throughout the brain as well as in the neuroepithelium. In the forebrain, regions of cellular Plxdc2-βgeo expression include the glial wedge and the developing medial septum (Fig. 5a–c). Expression is evident in the choroid plexus (derived from the CPe and derivatives of the cortical hem, namely the fimbria and the dentate gyrus of the developing hippocampus as well as Cajal–Retzius cells in the marginal zone of the developing cortex (Fig. 5d–f). Plxdc2-βgeo expression is also observed in discrete nuclei within the hypothalamus, habenula, pretectum and amygdala (Fig. 5g–k). In more caudal regions, Plxdc2-βgeo is also expressed within the tegmentum and pons in the midbrain reticular formation and pontine reticular formation (Fig. 5n–s). Plxdc2-βgeo expression was notable at the border region of the superior colliculus and the pons (Fig. 5q) and within the principal sensory trigeminal nucleus and trigeminal motor nucleus (Fig. 5r and s). In the hindbrain, extensive Plxdc2-βgeo expression was evident in the floor-plate and in distinct compartments of the developing Purkinje cell layer of the cerebellum as well as many nuclei of the medulla oblongata, including the vestibular nuclei (Fig. 5t–x). (Expression in the developing Purkinje cell layer has also been described at P0 (Leighton et al., 2001)).

Staining in several areas including the glial wedge and fimbria, for example, indicates that Plxdc2-βgeo expression is, at least in part, glial in nature. Neuronal Plxdc2 expression was also confirmed by PLAP staining of several axon tracts in the E15.5 brain (Fig. 6). PLAP staining was evident in axons of the posterior commissure which crosses the midline at the dorsal midbrain, connecting right and left prefrontal areas (Fig. 6a–d). PLAP expression also occurred in axons of the mammillothegmental tract which project from the mammillary nuclei to the tegmentum (Fig. 6c–d). In the hindbrain, strong PLAP staining was evident in the cerebellar peduncle connecting the cerebellum and the medulla oblongata (Fig. 6e–g). The sagittal view also highlights the uneven expression in the developing cerebellum with much greater expression in the caudal half at this mid-sagittal level (Fig. 6b and d). Very discrete expression at the border between the inferior colliculus and developing cerebellum is also evident in this view (Fig. 6b and d). Widespread vascular Plxdc2 expression in the brain is also apparent by PLAP staining (Fig. 6).

1.5. Plxdc2-PLAP expression in the eye and ear at E15.5

PLAP staining of 100 μm sections through the head illustrated continued Plxdc2 expression in the eye and ear at E15.5 (Fig. 7). PLAP staining within the eye was restricted to the proliferating region of the inner neuroblastic layer and the cuboidal epithelium of the lens (Fig. 7a). Sagittal sections through the ear illustrated Plxdc2 expression in the cochlea. PLAP staining was evident in the ventral epithelium and a sharp border existed between ventral and dorsal sides (Fig. 7b and c). Neuronal Plxdc2 expression was also illustrated by axonal staining within the trigeminal ganglion (Fig. 7c).

1.6. Plxdc1 expression

Plxdc1/TEM7 shows significant homology to Plxdc2, sharing the same domain architecture and exhibiting 53% amino acid identity between the two proteins in the mouse. An investigation of Plxdc1 expression in the brain was facilitated by the creation of a Plxdc1 “targeted trap” mouse line (Friedel et al., 2005). In this case, the PLAP secretory trap vector was fused to gene-specific homology arms, thus utilizing homologous recombination to insert the vector into the intron between exons 11 and 12 of the Plxdc1 gene.

Expression of Plxdc1-βgeo in the E16.5 brain was investigated by X-gal staining of 100 μm sections from homozygous Plxdc1 targeted trap mice (Fig. 8); in this case homozygous mutants were examined as they give
Fig. 5. Plxdc2-βgeo expression in the E15.5 brain. Cellular Plxdc2-βgeo expression in the E15.5 brain was investigated by X-gal staining of 100 μm coronal sections from heterozygous Plxdc2 gene trap mice. a–c: arrow, Plxdc2-βgeo expression at the medial septum. d: insert shows high magnification view of presumptive Cajal–Retzius cells. e: arrowhead, clusters of Plxdc2-βgeo expression at the border region of the tectum and the pons. amy, amygdala; cb, cerebellum; ChP, choroid plexus; CP, caudate putamen; CR, Cajal–Retzius cells; cx, cortex; DG, dentate gyrus; EP, entopeduncular nucleus; FIM, fimbria; fp, floorplate; GW, glial wedge; hab, habenula; hip, hippocampus; hy, hypothalamus; ic, inferior colliculus; mb, mammillary bodies; med, medulla oblongata; MRF, midbrain reticular formation; N5n, trigeminal motor nucleus; PCL, Purkinje cell layer; PRF, pontine reticular formation; Pr5, principle sensory trigeminal nucleus; pt, pretectum; sc, superior colliculus; sep, septum; teg, tegmentum; th, thalamus; vn, vestibular nuclei. Scale bar 1.5 mm.
more intense staining but appear phenotypically normal). Pldc1-βgeo expression in the brain differed greatly to that of Pldc2-βgeo. At E16.5, Pldc1-βgeo expression was restricted to a single paired midbrain nucleus lying ventral to the tectum (Fig. 8a), in a location consistent with the pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus. Neuroepithelial expression was also evident at the fourth ventricle (Fig. 8b). More widespread expression of Pldc1-βgeo was observed in neonatal and postnatal brain sections (data not shown), in accord with in situ hybridisation and immunohistochemistry results in the rat (Lee et al., 2005, 2006). Specific expression in the Purkinje cells and para-
ventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus at these later stages, for example, (data not shown) matches observations in the rat precisely (Lee et al., 2005), confirming the specificity and sensitivity of the reporter genes. At E10.5, whole mount X-gal staining of homozygous gene trap mice illustrated no Plxdc1-βgeo expression.

2. Experimental procedures

2.1. Characterisation of a PLAP secretory trap insertion in Plxdc2

A candidate primer approach was employed in order to characterise the site of vector insertion. A series of forward primers was designed from the wildtype Plxdc2 genomic sequence at 1.5 kb intervals and reverse primers were designed to the vector sequence. Genomic DNA from heterozygous Plxdc2 mice was used as template for the PCR reaction. A 2.2 kb band was amplified using one primer combination and sequenced in order to isolate the exact site of insertion.

2.2. Genotyping of mice

Genotyping of gene trap mice was carried out by PCR. Forward and reverse primers were designed to wildtype genomic sequence close to the site of vector insertion. An additional reverse primer was designed to the vector sequence in order to amplify mutated fragments. Genomic DNA from heterozygous mice was extracted from small tail sections by digestion in Boston Buffer (50 mM Tris pH 8.0, 50 mM KCl, 2.5 mM EDTA, 0.45% NP40, 0.45% Tween 20, Proteinase K) overnight at 56 °C and used as template for the PCR. The primer sequences were as follows: Plxd2; forward primer to wildtype sequence 5'-GAGAGCTACTTAGGCTGATTCTGT-3', reverse primer to wildtype sequence 5'-CCTCGACAGTAATTCAACCTGCTG-3', reverse primer to vector sequence 5'-GCTACCGGCTAA-3'. Plxd1; forward primer to wildtype sequence 5'-TCTCTCTCATAGCTGCTGTC-3', reverse primer to wildtype sequence 5'-AGTCAGGTCTGAGGTCAGTCGTC-3', reverse primer to vector sequence 5'-ACTTCCGGAGCGGATCTCAACTC-3'.

2.3. Visualisation of reporter genes

Whole embryos were fixed in 0.2% glutaraldehyde (0.1 M phosphate buffer, 2 mM MgCl₂, 5 mM EGTA) for 30 min. Following fixation, three washes were carried out at room temperature in washing buffer (0.1 M phosphate buffer, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.02% NP40, 0.1% sodium deoxycholate). Embryos were transferred to X-gal staining solution (1 mg ml⁻¹ X-gal, 5 mM K₃Fe(CN)₆, 5 mM K₄Fe(CN)₆ in embryo washing buffer) and incubated at 37 °C until colour developed. Stained embryos were post-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA)/phosphate buffered saline (PBS) at 4 °C overnight in order to preserve staining patterns and stored in PBS.

Brains for X-gal staining were fixed in 1% glutaraldehyde for 5 h. Sections (100 μm) were collected using a vibrating microtome (Leica, model VT1000S) and free floating sections were stained for βgal activity (as previously described). Following staining, sections were post-fixed in 4% PFA/PBS, air dried onto glass slides and mounted in Aqua Polymount (Polysciences Inc.).

E15 brains and whole heads for PLAP staining were fixed overnight in 4% PFA/PBS at 4 °C. Fixed tissues were embedded in 6% agar and 100 μm sections collected using a vibrating microtome. Sections were air dried onto glass slides. Following incubation in PBS for 45 min at 65 °C to inactivate endogenous phosphatases, PLAP activity was detected with AP staining buffer (0.1 mg ml⁻¹ 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate, 1 mg ml⁻¹ nitroblue tetrazolium in 100 mM Tris–HCL pH 9.5, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM MgCl₂). Sections were dehydrated in increasing concentrations of methanol and cleared in benzyl benzate: benzylalcohol (BB:BA; 2:1). Following rehydration, cleared sections were mounted in Aqua Polymount.

2.4. In situ hybridisation

In situ hybridisation was carried out largely according to Wilkinson (1992) with minor adjustments. Hybridisation times were extended to 2-3 days at 65 °C. Final post-hybridisation washes were in 0.2%/SSC/0.1%CHAPS, three times for 20 min at 65 °C. Antisense probes used are represented by the following Genbank sequences: Plxd2; nucleotides 1524–2188 on NM_026162. Wnt1; nucleotides 138-2345 on BC005449. Wnt5a; nucleotides 2310–2676 on NM_009522. Wnt5b; nucleotides 193–2324 on BC018425. Wnt8b; nucleotides 942–1634 on NM_011720. Sense control probes were routinely employed in each experiment. Mice strains: E10.5, C57BL/6JolaHsd (Harlan); E11.5, CD1.

2.5. Optical projection tomography

In situ hybridised or X-gal stained embryos were rinsed briefly in water, and embedded in 1% low melting point agarose. Once set, the block of agarose was cut in the desired orientation and affixed to a metal mount. Specimens were then dehydrated overnight in 100% methanol and cleared in BB:BA (2:1). OPT was carried out as described (Sharpe et al., 2002) on a prototype scanner built at the MRC Human Genetics Unit Edinburgh (now commercially available from BioOptonics). Software for 3D reconstruction, volume rendering and analysis was kindly provided by James Sharpe and the Edinburgh Mouse Atlas Project (MRC Human Genetics Unit).
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